UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/573,127 | 03/23/2006 | Atsushi Saso | ARGM-122US | 4902 |
| 52473 7590 10/15/2008 RATNERPRESTIA | | | EXAMINER | |
| P.O. BOX 980 | CE DA 10492 | LAI, ANNE VIET NGA | | |
| VALLEY FORGE, PA 19482 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2612 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 10/15/2008 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | | |
|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| | 10/573,127 | SASO ET AL. | | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | | |
| | ANNE V. LAI | 2612 | | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | ears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timil apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI | I. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 Ma | arch 2006 | | | | | | |
| · <u> </u> | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | | | | |
| <i>i</i> | / | | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are pending in the application. | 4) Claim(s) 1-4 is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are rejected. | · | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | election requirement. | | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | | |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other: | te | | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/573,127 Page 2

Art Unit: 2612

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-4 are pending in this case.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Ohmura** [US 6,462,674] in view of **Saitou** [US 6,128,559].

In claim 1, **Ohmura** teaches a vehicle mounted communication device comprising a videophone integrated with a travel information microcomputer (fig. 2) that can communicate with a remote similar communication device (videophone or PDA) equipped with navigation processor (GPS) to exchange video/voice and receive guidance from the remote communication device (col. 7, l. 6- col. 8, l. 51; col. 21, l. 30-51; claims 1-6, 25-27).

Although the mounting of the remote videophone or PDA on a vehicle is not specified, however it would have been obvious as design choice. **Saitou** discloses a two-way communication device mounted on a vehicle 10 (leading vehicle 101) exchanges travel information with identical communication devices mounted on other vehicles 10 (following vehicles 102, 103) for automatic adjusting its driving conditions based on information received from the other vehicles; the vehicles 10 can be attended or unattended (automatic navigation)

Application/Control Number: 10/573,127

Art Unit: 2612

and any vehicle 10 can be selected as leading or following vehicle as user choice (fig. 4, vehicle travel ECU 60, GPS 70, radio communication unit 92, display 82, speaker 81; map display, voice guidance, col. 5, I. 29- col. 7, I. 6).

In claim 2, although not specified, it is well known in videophone communication, faces of people participated in the communication can be displayed as user choice.

In claim 3, **Saitou** discloses displaying images indicative of the leading vehicle and following vehicles (fig. 19, col. 17, I. 7-41); the claimed same destination setting would have been obvious for vehicles running in a platoon of Saitou.

In claim 4, both Ohmura and Saitou vehicle communication device having travel information processing and two-way communicating the travel information to other communication device, therefore outputting travel route information received from other device to perform setting operation would have been obvious as user choice.

Conclusion

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Duckeck [US 6,678,609] and Bengler [US 7,424,309] disclose a vehicle navigation system having a video telephone communication feature.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ANNE V. LAI whose telephone number is

Art Unit: 2612

(571)272-2974. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00 am to 6:30 pm, Monday to Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hofsass Jeffery can be reached on 571-272-2981. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

AVL

/Jeff Hofsass/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2612